105TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

## H. R. 3557

To subject the United States to payment of fees and costs in proceedings relating to State water rights adjudications.

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

March 25, 1998

Mr. Smith of Oregon (for himself, Mr. Skeen, Mr. Crapo, and Mr. Hastings of Washington) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

## A BILL

To subject the United States to payment of fees and costs in proceedings relating to State water rights adjudications.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Water Adjudication
- 5 Fee Fairness Act of 1998."
- 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
- 7 The Congress finds the following:
- 8 (1) Generally, water allocation in the United
- 9 States is based upon an appropriation system, under
- which water users' rights are quantified under State

- law. These rights carry designated priority dates
  which establish the relative right to water from a
  source. Most States, especially in the West, have developed judicial proceedings, known as general
  stream adjudications, to quantify and document
  these relative rights, including the rights to water
  claimed by the United States Government.
  - (2) State general stream adjudications are typically complicated, expensive civil court actions that can involve hundreds or even thousands of claimants. However, such adjudications give certainty to water rights, provide direction for water administration, and reduce conflict over water allocation and water usage. Those claiming and establishing rights to water, including Federal agencies, are the primary beneficiaries of State general stream adjudication proceedings.
  - (3) The Congress recognized the benefits of the State general stream adjudication system, and by adoption of section 208 of the Department of Justice Appropriation Act, 1953 (43 U.S.C. 666, popularly known as the "McCarran Amendment"), required the United States to submit to State court jurisdiction and to file claims in State general stream adjudication proceedings.

- (4) Water rights claims by Federal agencies are typically the largest and most complex claims in State general stream adjudications. However, the United States Supreme Court, in the case United States v. Idaho, 508 U.S. 1 (1992), determined that under the McCarran Amendment the United States is not required to pay administrative fees or judicial costs in State general stream adjudication proceed-ings.
  - (5) Some Federal agencies, realizing they are not required to pay State fees, may file numerous water rights claims to either improve their negotiating position or to so increase the adjudication burden that the State must terminate its adjudication rather than attempt to deal with the avalanche of United States claims.
  - (6) Since Federal agency water rights claims are among the most difficult to adjudicate, and since the United States is not required to pay the fees paid by non-Federal claimants, the burden of funding these proceedings unfairly shifts to the private water users and State taxpayers. The requirement that States process Federal Government water rights claims without reimbursement constitutes an unfunded mandate on States.

1 (7) The lack of Federal Government funding to 2 support State water rights adjudications in relation 3 to the complexity of the claims involved has produced significant delays in completion of State general stream adjudications. These delays inhibit the 5 6 ability of both the States and Federal agencies to 7 protect private and public property interests. Also, 8 failure to complete the final adjudication of claims 9 to water restricts the ability of resource managers to 10 determine how much unappropriated water is avail-11 able to satisfy environmental and economic develop-12 ment demands.

## 13 SEC. 3. APPLICATION OF FEES AND COSTS FOR FEDERAL

- 14 WATER CLAIMS IN WATER USE RIGHTS PRO-15 CEEDINGS.
- 16 (a) In General.—In any administrative or judicial 17 proceeding for the adjudication or administration of rights 18 to the use of water in which the United States is a party, 19 the United States shall be subject to administrative fees, 20 claiming fees, and the imposition of judicial costs to the 21 same extent as a private party to the proceeding.
- 22 (b) APPLICATION.—Subsection (a) shall apply to pro-23 ceedings pending on, or initiated after, the date of enact-24 ment of this Act.